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On 13 February 2013, United President Herman Van Rompuy and Barroso announced that, based on the Working Group on Jobs and Growth (led by Gordon Brown and European Trade Commissioner Karmenu Vella), the EU will launch negotiations on a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP). The TTIP is envisioned as a means to promote international competitiveness and high-level diplomatic conferences. For example, in recent years, the US and the EU have shown a willingness to promote trade liberalization, particularly in the area of the TTIP. Preparations are now under way, with the US administration preparing to consult Congress on potential changes to the TTIP. EU negotiators have stated that the TTIP should handle the negotiation of formal negotiations on the TTIP with the US. The TTIP will be a TTIP with the US.

So what can we expect from the Working Group on Jobs and Growth? The TTIP will be committed to maintaining and protecting the TTIP with the US. Group has also stated that the two sides
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